UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,232	06/19/2006	Young Min Kim	430156.403USPC	5643	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER		
			OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104		PAPER NUMBER			
			1644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/535,232	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	arch 2009					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,20-26 and 38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-19,27-37 and 39-45</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
13/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a list of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents are priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks, filed on 03/13/2009, are acknowledged.

Claims 1 - 45 are pending.

Claims 12, 20 - 26 and 38 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Inventions and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the replies filed on 04/22/2008 and 08/04/2008.

Claims 1 - 11, 13 - 19, 27 - 37, and 39 - 45 are presently under consideration.

- 2. The rejections of record have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and arguments, except as reiterated herein.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of **35 U.S.C. 102** that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1644

4. Claims 1 – 11, 13 – 19, 27 – 37, and 39 – 45 stand rejected under **35 U.S.C. 102(e)** as being anticipated by DeFrees et al. (US Patent No. 7,125,843; of record), for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found convincing.

Applicant argues that the antibody-based conjugates of DeFrees et al. do not fall within the scope of the instant claims, which are allegedly limited to immunoglobulin Fc fragment. In this interpretation Applicant relies on the disclosure in the instant specification which defines an immunoglobulin Fc fragment as excluding whole antibodies.

In response, it is acknowledged that that an immunoglobulin Fc fragment does not include a whole antibody. However, the instant claims include "comprising" language, and as such are not limited to those elements specifically recited in the claim. One of skill in the art is aware that a whole antibody comprises an Fc fragment, and therefore is within the scope of the instant recitation of a conjugate "comprising" an immunoglobulin Fc fragment.

With regard to the newly added recitation requiring that the peptide and the immunoglobulin Fc fragment be covalently linked through the non-peptide polymer, it is noted that DeFees et al. teach the antibodies are conjugated via Asn 297 in the Fc domain (e.g. Example 8, "Cri-lgG1 antibody"). Therefore, in the teachings of DeFrees et al. it is the Fc fragment of the whole antibody that is conjugated through a non-peptide polymer, and thus the teachings of the reference are within the scope of the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1644

Therefore, the rejection of record is maintained for the reasons of record, as it applies to the amended claims. The rejection of record is incorporated by reference herein, as if reiterated in full.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1644

6. Claims 1 - 11, 13 - 19, 27 - 37, and 39 - 45 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type **double patenting** as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 13 of copending Application No. 10/535231, published as US Pat. Pub. No. 2006/0275254for the reasons of record.

Applicant's request to defer addressing this rejection until indication of allowable subject matter in this or copending application is acknowledged.

The rejection is presently maintained for the reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Conclusion: no claim is allowed.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1644

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/
ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

June 9, 2009